



to U.S. Attorneys, including the District Judge in the *Holloway* case, where the Court was of the opinion that the sentence was unduly harsh. See *United States v. Ledezma-Rodriguez*, \_\_\_ F.Supp. 3d \_\_\_, 2017 WL 1368983 (S.D. Iowa, April 10, 2017) and *United States v. Ezell*, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 109814, at \*39 (E.D. Pa. Aug. 18, 2015) (both cases encouraging the prosecutor to request a reduction of the defendant's sentence as in *Holloway*).

Unfortunately for Hagler, the undersigned will not make such a request. The Government's response to his original petition outlined in detail the reasons for the length of Hagler's sentence, not the least of which was his extensive criminal history. As the Government noted, there is nothing unduly harsh about the sentence Hagler received, especially in light of his criminal history. This Court has no reason to believe that the Government did not make a considered and fair assessment of the facts of his case and corresponding sentence when asked to respond to Hagler's original request for reduction and thus, there is no basis for this Court to intervene on his behalf. Accordingly, Hagler's Motion for Reconsideration is DENIED.

### **CONCLUSION**

Based on the foregoing, the Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration, [DE 386], is DENIED.

SO ORDERED.

This 18<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2017

s/ William C. Lee  
United States District Court